## THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 104

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

J. ROGER WEBER Junior Party, 1

v.

WARREN F. KAUFMAN and MICHAEL M. SCHECHTER Junior Party, 2

v.

MICHAEL M. SCHECHTER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Application Serial No. 08/092,373, filed July 15, 1993. Accorded the benefit of Application Serial No. 07/963,033, filed October 19 1992, now abandoned; and Application Serial No. 07/729,138, filed July 12, 1991, now abandoned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Application Serial No. 08/373,701, filed January 17, 1995. Accorded benefit of Application Serial No. 07/993,746, filed December 12, 1992, now U.S. Patent No. 5,255,641, granted October 26, 1993, and Application Serial No. 07/720,115, filed June 24, 1991, now abandoned. Assignors to Ford Global Technologies, Inc.

Junior Party.<sup>3</sup>

Interference No. 103,449

\_\_\_\_\_

Before METZ, PATE and MARTIN, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.

PATE, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

On June 20, 2000, an Order to Show Cause was mailed to junior party Weber that judgment would be entered against Weber for failure to file a record, unless Weber showed good cause why such judgment should not be issued. Weber has not responded to the order. Consequently, judgment is entered against junior party Weber on the ground of priority of invention hereinbelow.

Also on June 20, 2000, an Order to Show Cause was mailed to parties Kaufman et al. and Schechter stating that judgment would be entered against both Kaufman et al. and Schechter for failure to establish priority of invention,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Patent No. 5,255,641, granted October 26, 1993, based on Application Serial No. 07/993,746, filed December 21, 1992. Accorded the benefit of Application Serial No. 07/720,115, filed June 24, 1991, now abandoned. Assignor to Ford Motor Co.

unless Kaufman et al. and/or Schechter showed good cause why such judgment should not be entered. In response to the order, Schechter has conceded priority of invention.

Accordingly, the following judgment is entered.

## Judgment

Judgment in Interference No. 103,449 is entered against J. Roger Weber, a junior party. J. Roger Weber is not entitled

to his claims 5, 8, and 10-20, which claims correspond to the count in interference. Judgment is also entered against Michael N. Schechter, a junior party. Michael N. Schechter is not entitled to claims 1-10, which claims correspond to the count in interference. Judgment is entered in favor of Warren F. Kaufman and Michael N. Schechter, a junior party. Warren F. Kaufman and Michael N. Schechter are entitled to a patent containing claims 1-10, and 16-23, which claims correspond to the count in interference.

	ANDREW H. METZ Administrative Patent	Judge	)		
			)		
PATENT			)	BOARD OF	
	WILLIAM F. PATE, III	- 1	)	APPEALS	AND
INTERFERENCES	Administrative Patent	Juage	)		
			)		
			)		
	JOHN C. MARTIN		)		
	Administrative Patent	Judge	)		

WFP:psb

Counsel for Junior Party Weber:

Charles L. Gholz, Esq.
Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, P.C.
Fourth Floor
1755 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 22202

Counsel for Junior Party Kaufman et al.:

J. Frank Osha Kenneth J. Burchfiel Sughrue, Mion, Zinn, MacPeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Counsel for Junior Party Schechter:

J. Frank Osha Kenneth J. Burchfiel Sughrue, Mion, Zinn, MacPeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037